arXiv:1512.04717v1 [math.GN] 15 Dec 2015

THE UNION OF TWO COMPACT SETS IN $\mathbb{R}^2$ WITH CONNECTED COMPLEMENT HAS A CONNECTED COMPLEMENT

YEORGIOS A. DOSSIDIS

Abstract. In the papers from Chui and Parnes (1971) [1] and Luh (1972) [2], as well on the paper from V.Nestoridis (1996) [3] on the Universal Taylor series, it is used, without proof, that the union of two compact sets in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with connected complement has a connected complement. In this work we present a rigorous proof of this fact, by studying some important topological properties of the plane.

Preliminaries

The following known results will be used in proving the main theorem.

Proposition 1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ open. Then A is path-connected if and only if A is connected.*

Proof. Every path-connected subset of $\mathbb{C}$ is connected, so it suffices to show the other direction. Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ be open and connected. That implies that if the exist $U, V \subset A$ open, such as $U \cup V = A$ and $U \cap V = \varnothing$, then either $U = \varnothing$ or $V = \varnothing$. Assume $A \neq \varnothing$ and $x_0 \in A$, and define

$$U := \{x \in A \mid \exists C : [0,1] \to A, \text{ continuous, such as } C(0) = x_0 \text{and } C(1) = x\}.$$

Then U is open. Indeed, if $x \in U$ then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset A$ because A is open. Now, for all $x_1 \in B(x, \varepsilon)$, there exists $C_1 : [0,1] \to A$, such as $C_1(0) = x$, $C_1(1) = x_1$ continuous (the line segment xx_1), and so

$$C_2 := C \oplus C_1 = \begin{cases} C(2s) & \text{if} \quad s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}], \\ C_1(2s-1) & \text{if} \quad s \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right], \end{cases}$$

is continuous and $C_2(0) = x_0$, $C_2(1) = x_1$. So $x_1 \in U$ which implies $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset U$ and so U is open. However, $V := A \setminus U$ is also open: If $x \in V$ then there exists an $\varepsilon_2 > 0 : B(x, \varepsilon_2) \subset A$. If there exists an $x_2 \in B(x, \varepsilon_2) : x_2 \in U$ then $x \in U$, which leads to a contradiction. So $B(x, \varepsilon_2) \subset V$ which implies V open. As U, V are open and $U \cup V = A$, $U \cap V = \varnothing$ it follows that $V = \varnothing$ and $A = U$, which means A is path-wise connected. □

Remark 1. *The proof above can be slightly modified in order to be valid for topological space which is locally path-connected. In particular, Proposition 1 holds for any open $A \subset \mathbb{C}_\infty = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$, where $\mathbb{C}_\infty$ the Riemann sphere.*

Proposition 2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ (or $\mathbb{C}_\infty$) bounded. Then A^c has exactly one unbounded component.*

Proof. Because A is bounded, then there exists $M > 0 : A \subset B(0, M) \Rightarrow A^c \supset \big(B(0, M)\big)^c$ and so A^c is not bounded. Furthermore, $\big(B(0, M)\big)^c$ is connected and $A^c \setminus \big(B(0, M)\big)^c$ is bounded or empty. Therefore A^c has exactly one unbounded component and every other component (if any exist) are contained in $B(0, M)$ □

Proposition 3. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ bounded. Then $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$ is connected if and only if $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A$ is connected.*

Proof. Let A bounded. Then $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$ has exactly one unbounded component, U_{A^c}.
If $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$ is connected, then $\mathbb{C} \setminus A = U_{A^c}$ and therefore every neighborhood of infinity is a subset of $U_{A^c} \cup \{\infty\}$. We conclude that $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A = U_{A^c} \cup \{\infty\}$ is connected.
Lets now assume $\mathbb{C} \setminus A$ disconnected. Then, because A is bounded, there exists $B \neq \varnothing$, a bounded

component of $\mathbb{C}\setminus A$ ($B\subset(\mathbb{C}\setminus A)\setminus U_{A^c}$).
Then $B\cap(U_{A^c}\cup\{\infty\})=\varnothing$ and therefore $\mathbb{C}_\infty\setminus A$ disconnected. □

Simply Connected Subsets of the Plane

The following theorem is a characterization of simply connected subsets of the plane.

Theorem 1. *An open and connected $A\subset\mathbb{C}$ is simply connected if and only if $\mathbb{C}_\infty\setminus A$ is connected. In order to prove this, we will need the following* [1]*:*

Lemma 1. *Let X be a connected topological manifold, and $x,y\in X$ such as $x\neq y$. Then there exists an injective curve $\sigma:[0,1]\to X$ with $\sigma(0)=x$ and $\sigma(1)=y$*

Proof. (of lemma 1)
Let $x_0\in X$ and define $W=\{x\in X: x\neq x_0$ and x_0 is connected to x by an injective curve$\}\cup\{x_0\}$.
Now W is both open and closed. Indeed, let $x_1\in W$, and V an open neighbourhood of x_1 which is homeomorphic to the unit ball $B(0,1)$, with $p:V\to B(0,1)$ a homeomorphism with $p(x_1)=0$
Let $x_2\in V$. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that x_2 does not belong to the injective curve σ_1 which connects x_0 and x_1. If $r:=\|p(x_2)\|<1$, let

$$d=\operatorname{dist}\big(p(x_2),\overline{B}(0,r)\cap p(\gamma[I])\big)>0.$$

Let $y_3\in\overline{B}(0,r)$, such that $\|y_3-p(x_2)\|=d$ (such y_3 exists since $\overline{B}(0,r)\cap p(\gamma[I])$ is compact), J be the segment connecting y_3 and $p(x_2)$ and $x_3=p^{-1}(y_3)$. Then the union of $p^{-1}(J)$ and the part of σ_1 which connects x_0 with x_3 is an injective curve connecting x_0 and x_2, and therefore W is open.
Using a similar approach to the one we just used, it is readily obtained that a limit point of W also belong to W.
Since X is assumed connected, and $W\neq\varnothing$, we have that $W=X$ and, therefore such an injective curve exists for any $x,y\in X$ with $x\neq y$ □

Proposition 4. *Let $A\subset\mathbb{C}$ be open, connected and not simply connected. Then there exists in A a simple closed curve, which is not A-null-homotopic.*

Proof. Let X be the universal cover of A and $\pi:X\to A$ be the universal covering map.
Since A is not null-homotopic, there exists (by definition) a closed curve $\gamma:[0,1]\to A$ which is not A-null-homotopic.
Let $\hat\gamma:[0,1]\to X$ be a lift of γ. Since γ is not A-null-homotopic, we have $\hat\gamma(1)\neq\hat\gamma(0)$ [4]. Since X is a connected topological manifold, by the previous lemma, there exists an injective curve $\sigma:[0,1]\to X$ such that $\sigma(0)=\hat\gamma(0)$ and $\sigma(1)=\hat\gamma(1)$. Next define

$$s=\inf\big\{t\in(0,1]:\big(\exists u\in[0,t)\big):\big(\pi(\sigma(t))=\pi(\sigma(u))\big)\big\}.$$

We claim that there is an $u\in[0,s)$ with $\pi(\sigma(s))=\pi(\sigma(u))$: Choose a neighbourhood V of $\sigma(s)$ on which π is injective. There is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\sigma(t)\in V$ for all $t\in[s-\varepsilon,s+\varepsilon]$. We have sequences t_n and u_n with $\pi(\sigma(t_n))=\pi(\sigma(u_n))$ where $t_n\geqslant t_{n+1}\geqslant s$ and $u_n<t_n$. Without loss of generality, we can assume $t_n<s+\varepsilon$ for all n. Since π is injective on V, we have $u_n<s-\varepsilon$ for all n. After taking a subsequence, we can assume that u_n converges to some $u\leqslant s-\varepsilon$. By continuity,

$$\pi(\sigma(s))=\lim_{n\to\infty}\pi(\sigma(t_n))=\lim_{n\to\infty}\pi(\sigma(u_n))=\pi(\sigma(u)).$$

Now define $\tilde\sigma(t)=\sigma((1-t)u+ts)$ and $\tilde\gamma=\pi\circ\tilde\sigma$. By construction, $\tilde\gamma$ is a simple closed curve in U, and since $\sigma(u)\neq\sigma(s)$, it is not null-homotopic. □

[1] I am indebted to Y.Smyrlis for suggesting this line of proof.

We are now ready to prove theorem 1

Proof. of Theorem 1
Let A be not simply connected. Then there exists $\gamma : [0,1] \to A$ simple, continuous and closed that is not A-null-homotopic.
Let $\gamma^* := \gamma([0,1])$. From the Jordan-Schoenflies curve theorem, we have that $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \gamma^*$ consists of exactly two components, the interior $int(\gamma)$, which is the bounded one, and the exterior, $ext(\gamma)$, both of which are homeomorphic to the unit disk.
Therefore, if $int(\gamma) \subset A$, it would follow that γ is A-null-homotopic. Therefore $\exists z_1 \in \mathbb{C} : z_1 \in int(\gamma)$ and $z_1 \notin A$. Then, on the Riemann sphere, z_1 and ∞ belong on different components of $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus \gamma^*$ and hence $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A$ is disconnected.

Conversely, let $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A$ be disconnected. Let K be a component of $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A$ such that $\infty \notin K$. Then K is compact. Moreover, let $\Omega := \mathbb{C} \setminus U_{A^c}$. Since U_{A^c} is closed, Ω is open and since $K \subset \Omega$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the $dist(K, U_{A^c}) = 2\varepsilon$.
If $z \in K$ then there exists a continuous and closed curve, $\alpha : [0,1] \to A$, such as and z belongs in a bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \alpha^*$. A detail construction of such a curve can be found in [5]. We begin by constructing a grid of horizontal and vertical lines such as the distance between any two adjacent horizontal lines and any two adjacent vertical lines is ε. From the squares (closed 2-cells) formed by the grid, we can choose those that intersect with K. Since K is compact, only finitely many are required. By parameterizing the edges of these squares carefully, we arrive at a representative of an element of the fundamental group of A which is different from the identity. □

Corollary 1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{C}$ open. Then every component of A is simply connected if and only $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A$ connected.*

Proof. First lets assume that $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A$ is connected. If $A_0 \subset A$ be one connected component of A, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A_0$ connected. We can assume that $A_0 \neq A$ (this is the case of theorem 1) and so let $z \in A \setminus A_0$. If $z_0 \in A_0$, then if $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous such as $\gamma(0) = z_0$ and $\gamma(1) = z$ we have $\gamma^* \not\subset A$ (where $\gamma^* := \gamma([0,1])$ the image of γ). Therefore $\exists s_1 \in (0,1)$ and $z_1 \notin A$ such as $\gamma(s_1) = z_1$ and consequently z belongs in the only component of $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A_0$ which is therefore connected. Now, from theorem 1, A_0 is simply connected.
Let now assume that $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A$ disconnected. So, and because the connected components of A are pairwise disjoint, $\exists z \in \mathbb{C}$ which is contained in the bounded component of the compliment of at least one connected component of A. Let A_1 be one such component of A. Then $\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus A_1$ disconnected and therefore, from theorem 1, A_1 is not simply connected. □

Main Theorem

Having the results above established, we can now prove the main theorem.

Theorem 2 (Characterazation)**.** *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ compact. Then $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected if and only if for all open $A \supset K$ there exists V open such as $K \subset V \subset A$ and every component of V is simply connected.*

Proof. Let $K^c := \mathbb{C} \setminus K$ connected. Since K is compact, K^c is open and therefore path-wise connected. (Proposition 1)
Let $A \supset K$. If the conclusion is true for every A open and bounded and A_1 is an open set containing K, then $K \subset A_1 \cap B(0, M) \subset A_1$, for a sufficiently large $M > 0$. Since $A_1 \cap B(0, M)$ is bounded there is an open V such as $K \subset V \subset A_1 \cap B(0, M) \subset A_1$ and every component of V is simply connected. Therefore, we can assume, without a loss of generality, that A is bounded.
Since A is bounded, A^c has exactly one unbounded component, U_{A^c}. (Proposition 2)
If U_{A^c} is the only component of A^c, then A^c is connected and therefore connected on the Riemann sphere (Proposition 3) and consequently (Theorem 1) A is simply connected and the conclusion follows. We can, therefore, assume that A^c has bounded components.
Since $K \subset A$ and A open, $\exists \varepsilon > 0 : B(z, \varepsilon) \subset A \forall z \in K$ (i.e $\varepsilon < dist(\partial A, K)$). K is compact, hence only finite such balls $B_1, ..., B_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with radius ε suffice to cover K: $K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i = W \subset A$
Now, W^c has a finite number of components, $N \in \mathbb{N}$ [2] If $z_1, z_2, ..., z_N \in \mathbb{C}$ each belong to a different component of W^c, then $z_1, z_2, ..., z_N \in K^c$ and since K^c is path-wise connected, K^c is also path-wise connected on the Riemann sphere (Proposition 3). Therefore, for $i = 1, 2, ..., N$ there exist $\gamma_i : [0, 1] \to (\mathbb{C}_\infty \setminus K)$ continuous, such as $\gamma_i(0) = z_i$, $\gamma_i(0) = \infty$. Without a loss of generality, we can assume that $\gamma_i(s) \in \mathbb{C}$ $\forall s \in [0, 1)$ and $\forall i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$
We now define $G_i := \gamma_i([0, 1)) \subset \mathbb{C}$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ and notice that G_i is closed $\forall i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$. Hence $V := W \cap (\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} G_i)^c \subset A$ is open and V^c is path-wise connected. Therefore V^c path-wise connected on Riemann sphere and hence every component of V is simply connected (Corollary of 1).

Conversely, let K^c be disconnected.
If U_{K^c} is the unbounded component of K^c, then there exists a $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_0 \notin K$ and $z_0 \notin U_{K^c}$. Since K^c is open, there exist an $\varepsilon > 0 : B(z_0, \varepsilon) \subset K^c$. For this ε, it is straightforward to show that $B(z,\varepsilon) \cap U_{K^c} = \varnothing$ and $\overline{B}(z_0, \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \subset K^c$.
Since K is compact, it is bounded and therefore there exists an $M > 0$ such that $B(z_0, M) \supset K$
Let $A := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < |z - z_0| < M\}$ the annulus centered at z_0, with radii $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and M. Then there is no open subset V of A with $K \subset V$ such as all components of V are simply connected. Indeed, for any $\gamma : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{C}_\infty$ continuous such as $\gamma(0) = z_0$ and $\gamma(1) = \infty$, we have $\gamma^* \cap K \neq \varnothing$ and since $K \subset V$, $\gamma^* \cap V \neq \varnothing$. Therefore V^c is not path-wise connected on Riemann sphere and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1. □

Our main result follows as a corollary from the characterization.

Corollary 2. *Let $K, L \subset \mathbb{C}$ be disjoint and compact, such as K^c and L^c are connected. Then $(K \cup L)^c$ is connected.*

Proof. Let A open such as $K \cup L \subset A$. Since K, L are disjoint and closed, there are $A_1, A_2 \subset A$ open and disjoint such as $K \subset A_1$ and $L \subset A_2$. From the characterization (theorem 2), $\exists V_1, V_2$ open such as $K \subset V_1 \subset A_1$, $L \subset V_2 \subset A_2$ and every component of V_1 and V_2 is simply connected.
Then $K \cup L \subset V_1 \cup V_2 \cup A$ and every component of $V := V_1 \cup V_2$ is simply connected. Now, it is obvious that V is open and since A was arbitrary, the conclusion follows from the characterization □

[2] This holds for any open and convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$: Let $V_1, \ldots, V_n$ be open, bounded and convex subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then the complement $F = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} V_i$ possesses only finitely many connected components.[6].

Acknowledgements

I express my deep sense of gratitude to my teacher, Y.Smyrlis - University of Cyprus, for his help, support and guidance. Without him, this work would have never been possible.
I would also like to extend my sincere gratitude to V.Nestoridis - University of Athens, for initiating the paper, suggesting the subject and providing general guidance on the relevant bibliography.
Finally, I am very much thankful to A.Hadjigeorgiou for the fruitful discussions on this topic.

References

1. C. Chui and M.N. Parnes, Approximation by overconvergence of power series , J. Math. Anal. Appl. 36 (1971), 693696
2. W. Luh, Approximation analytischer Funktionen durch uberkonvergente Potenzreihen und deren Matrix- Transformierten, Mitt. Math.Sem. Giessen 88 (1970), 156.
3. V. Nestoridis, Universal Taylor series, Ann. Inst. Fourier 46 (1996), 12931306.
4. O.Forster, Lectures on Riemannian Surfaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 81, Springer 20-33
5. W.Rudin, Real and complex analysis, 3rd ed. McGraw-Hill, Inc. New York, NY, USA 1987 ISBN:0070542341, 268-269
6. Eric Wofsey (http://mathoverflow.net/users/75/eric-wofsey), Complement of a finite union of convex sets, (http://mathoverflow.net/q/224294), 2015-11-23

E-mail address: gntosi01@ucy.ac.cy